#### MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF SONNING PARISH COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 4 DECEMBER 2017 AT 6.00PM IN THE PEARSON HALL, PEARSON ROAD, SONNING.

PRESENT: Mr I Runnalls (Chairman), Mr A E Farnese, Mr T Fisher, Mr Morrison. Mrs L Bates (Clerk), 1 Visitor.

<u>APOLOGIES</u>: There were no apologies.

#### AGENDA

- a) Present.
- b) Apologies for Absence
- c) Declaration of Interest
- d) Minutes of 6 November 2017 to approve.
- e) Updates.
- f) <u>Pool Court, Thames Street (173369)</u>. Householder application for the proposed erection of single storey side and rear extensions, erection of outbuilding, plus reposition of existing tennis court and western boundary. To agree comments. Deadline 19/12/17
- g) Any matters considered urgent by the Chairman.
- h) Date of the Next Meeting.

### 1889 DECLARATION OF INTEREST/DISPENSATIONS.

There were no declarations of interest.

#### 1890 <u>MINUTES.</u>

The Minutes having been circulated were taken as read and signed by the Chairman.

1891 <u>UPDATES.</u>

The Chairman said that the application for 20 Glebe Lane (172347) had been withdrawn by the applicant. Ranmore Parkway Drive (172701) had been approved and the application for the gates at St. Andrews Church (172756) had been refused.

## 1892 POOL COURT (173369).

Mr Morrison said that this application was for two small extensions to the existing dwelling and the erection of a separate outbuilding containing a double garage and gym. Overall the increase was 60% over the existing. The tennis court was to be repositioned and the boundary with The Atrium was to be moved towards Pool Court. It was in the Conservation Area and the existing dwelling was considered to be a building of merit. Mr Gilmore said that he had no concerns about the extensions to the existing dwelling but was concerned about the outbuilding, which seemed very large. There were no measurements but it looked as if there was room to put rooms in the roof and he was concerned that the building could be changed into an annex or a separate dwelling. Mr O'Callaghan felt the outbuilding was excessively large and did not complement the existing dwelling which was a designated heritage asset. Although he felt the extensions might have been better he had no objections but would have liked to seen something more imaginative. He was unhappy about changes to the existing bathroom window and the introduction of a second window alongside it. Following discussion it was agreed to say no objections to the extensions to the existing dwelling but to object to the outbuilding as being overly large, would dominate the existing dwelling, did not reflect the existing dwelling and bulk and massing.

## 1893. MATTERS CONSIDERED URGENT BY THE CHAIRMAN.

There were no urgent matters.

# 1894. <u>DATE OF THE OF THE NEXT MEETING</u>. The next meeting would be held on Wednesday 20 December at 6.00pm in the Pavilion.

Signed.....Dated....